Validation of BRCA1 associated protein-1 (BAP-1) as an adverse prognostic factor and investigations into the impact of BAP1 loss on the vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) pathway in clear cell renal cell carcinoma (ccRCC).

Melanie Skibbe¹, Kilian Günther¹, Payal Kapur², Jiaoti Huang³, Arie S. Belldegrun⁴, Martin Burchardt⁵, Uwe Zimmermann⁵ Yi-Feng Gu⁶, Nicholas Wolff⁶, James Brugarolas⁶, Christopher H. Lillig¹, Allan J. Pantuck², Nils Kroeger^{1,2,5}

From the 1The Institute of Medical Biochemistry and Molecular Medicine, Greifswald, Germany 2Department of Pathology, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, Texas, USA, 3Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California-Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, USA, 4The Institute of Urologic Oncology, Department of Urology, David Geffen School of Medicine at the University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, USA; 5Department of Urology, University Medicine, Greifswald, Germany; 6Department of Internal Medicine, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, Texas, USA;

Introduction&purpose:

Loss of BAP1 has been described as an adverse prognostic factor in ccRCC. Therefore, the current study aims to validate *BAP1* loss as an adverse prognostic factor and to investigate the impact of BAP1 loss on the VEGF pathway in ccRCC.

Methods:

The study cohort was comprised of 321 ccRCC patients of whom 294 had evaluable tissue micro array (TMA) data. Patients with vs. without loss of BAP-1 expression were compared for differences in features, survival outcome and correlations with expression of the VEGF pathway. Hypoxia inducible factor (HIF)-1α and HIF-2α levels as well as the VEGF-pathway members VEGF-A, VEGFR1, and VEGFR2 were analyzed with WB and enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) in normoxic (21%O₂) and hypoxic (1% O₂) BAP1 negative (UMRC6), BAP1 mutated (mt) (769-P) and BAP1-wild type (WT) (RCC-JF) ccRCC cell lines over a time period of 72hours.

Results:

In the TMA analysis, patients with vs. without BAP1 loss had significantly advanced clinicopathological features (higher T stages (p<0.001), M1 (p=0.017), larger tumors sizes

(p=0.003), sarcomatoid features (p=0.018)). BAP1 loss was associated with worse survival outcome for both cancer specific (CSS) (p <0.001) and recurrence free survival (RFS) (p<0.001). However, when adjusted, BAP-1 loss could not be validated as an independent prognostic factor of either CSS (p=0.183) or RFS (p=0.233). Correlations with members of the VEGF pathway demonstrated high cytoplasmic HIF-1 α (p=0.048), VEGF-A epithelial (epi) (p=0.008), VEGFR1 epi (p<0.001), VEGFR2epi (p=0.016), VEGFR2endo (p=0.035), and low expression of VEGFR3 (p=0.037) in BAP (-) vs. BAP1 (+) tumor tissue.

BAP1 was found in 769-P, RCCJF in both the cytoplasm and nucleus while BAP1 was absent in UMRC6. In (BAP-1 (-)) cells, HIF-1α levels increased to 10-100 fold and 40-250 fold higher levels in the cytoplasm and the nucleus under hypoxic and normoxic conditions when compared with the RCC-JF (BAP1 WT) and 769-P (BAP1 mt) cell lines at 24-72h. In the BAP1-WT and BAP1-mt cell lines, HIF-1α levels were absent in the cytoplasm and were constant in the nucleus during the observation period. Similarly, VEGFR2 levels were 8-14 fold higher under both normoxic and hypoxic conditions in the BAP1 (-) cells during the observation period while VEGFR1 levels were comparable in all three cell lines. Interestingly, BAP1(-) and BAP1-mt cell lines presented a 3-5 fold higher extracellular/cellular VEGFA ratio when compared with BAP1-WT cell lines.

Conclusions:

The current study has validated that BAP1 loss is associated with adverse prognostic tumor features and poor prognosis in ccRCC. Additionally, our TMA and *invitro* results suggest a potential role of BAP1 in the regulation of the VEGF pathway.